

Investitions- und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz

Update

Key Rating Drivers

Owner Support Drives Ratings: Investitions- und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz's (ISB RP) ratings are based on support from the bank's ultimate owner, the German federal State of Rhineland-Palatinate (RP; AAA/Stable/F1+). RP provides an explicit and irrevocable, unlimited, unconditional and first-demand statutory guarantee covering all of ISB RP's liabilities, a maintenance obligation (Anstaltslast), which ensures its continuation as an economic entity, and a statutory guarantor's liability (Gewaehrtraegerhaftung).

The owner's very strong ability and very high propensity to support ISB RP drive the equalisation of the bank's ratings with RP. The Stable Outlook on ISB RP's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) mirrors that on the bank's owner and guarantor, given that the nature of support from RP is unlikely to change due to ISB RP's strategic role for RP's economy.

Regional Development Bank: ISB RP has a mandate to support the regional government's economic, social, and environmental policies. ISB RP provides subsidised long-term loans, grants and guarantees with a focus on municipal and infrastructure financing in RP. It also supports corporates (with grants to foster employment, new technologies, digitalisation and sustainability) and households (with subsidised loans for social housing construction and modernisation). ISB RP also acts as agent for RP's venture-capital financing.

Support Compliant with EU Rules: ISB RP's business model complies with EU state-aid rules as it exclusively undertakes non-competitive business, similar to its peers'. A state guarantee framework agreed in 2002 by Germany and the European Commission allows German public-sector development banks to receive state support.

Legal Insolvency Protection: ISB RP is insolvency-remote by law and, in line with other German development banks, has not been subject to capital requirement regulation since June 2019, but has to follow minimum capital standards set by the local regulator. It is exempt from the Single Resolution Mechanism, the Recovery and Resolution Act and the Restructuring Fund Act.

Funding Access Benefits from Guarantee: Owing to the guarantee, banks and insurance companies investing in ISB RP's debt benefit from 0% regulatory risk-weighting and level one treatment for their liquidity coverage ratios. This ensures ISB RP's reliable access to debt markets.

No VR Assigned: Fitch Ratings does not assign a Viability Rating (VR) to ISB RP as its operations are largely determined by its policy role as a development bank, as with other German development banks.

Ratings Aligned with Germany's: ISP RP's ratings are indirectly linked to the German sovereign ratings (AAA/Stable/F1+), as a fully owned bank of RP. This is because the ratings of the German Laender (federal states), including RP, are linked to those of Germany on the back of a strong mutual support system between the Laender and extensive financial equalisation among them, together with the solidarity principle.

Ratings

Foreign Currency

Shareholder Support Rating aaa

Sovereign Risk (Germany)

Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR AAA Long-Term Local-Currency IDR AAA Country Ceiling AAA

Outlooks

Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR Stable
Sovereign Long-Term ForeignCurrency IDR
Sovereign Long-Term LocalCurrency IDR
Stable

Applicable Criteria

Banks Rating Criteria (March 2024)

Related Research

Fitch Affirms Investitions- und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (January 2023)

Fitch Affirms German Development Banks' Joint Agency Social Bond at 'AAA' (January 2024)

Fitch Affirms Germany at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (March 2024)

Analysts

Roger Schneider +49 69 768076 242 roger.schneider@fitchratings.com

Caroline Herper, CFA +49 69 768076 176 caroline.herper@fitchratings.com

1

Update | 24 July 2024 fitchratings.com



Rating Sensitivities

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

ISB RP's ratings are primarily sensitive to negative changes in RP's ratings, which, in turn, are linked to those of Germany.

A downgrade of ISB RP's IDRs would most likely result from a downgrade of RP's IDRs. The bank's ratings are also sensitive to adverse changes in Fitch's assumptions about RP's propensity of support. This could result from a weakening of the terms of RP's guarantees.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

ISB RP's ratings are at the highest level on Fitch's rating scale and, therefore, cannot be upgraded.

Other Debt and Issuer Ratings

| Rating level | Rating | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--|
| Senior unsecured: long-term | AAA | |
| Source: Fitch Ratings | | |

ISB RP's senior unsecured debt rating is aligned with the bank's Long-Term IDR.

Significant Changes from Last Review

Solid Promotional Loan Business; Strongly Declining Grants

The volume of ISB RP's total new promotional business (loans, grants and guarantees) of almost EUR2 billion in 2023 was well below the previous year (EUR2.8 billion). This is mainly due to significantly lower grants (-78% yoy), which dropped from EUR1.349 million in 2022 to EUR367 million as most pandemic-related support measures were faded out in 2023. These extraordinary measures accounted for almost half of ISB RP's new business in 2022. The bank's promotional loan business on the contrary increased notably by 7.4% in 2023, led by its SME segment despite a weak economic environment in Germany.

Financing of municipal and infrastructure remained ISB RP's strongest business segment in 2023 in terms of new loan volume (EUR847.3 million), accounting for more than half of ISB RP's total promotional lending. The higher share in this segment differentiates ISB RP from most of its regional development bank peers and the credit risk for municipal and infrastructure lending is primarily borne by public sector sources.

Developments in the housing segment were ambiguous as housing related loans and grants declined by almost 30%, but mainly due to the decline in flood-related support measures to address housing damages and rebuilding in the Ahrtal. Social housing support remained a key focus for ISB RP with a significantly higher number of apartments (around 3,000 units versus 1,800 units in 2022) being created for low- and middle-income earners. However, activity is overall subdued due to higher interest rates, rising construction costs, supply bottlenecks and high energy standards – similar to development at other regional development banks.

Normalisation of Business Expected for 2024 and 2025

ISB RP's management expects a normalisation of its business in the coming two years, with its traditional lending programmes dominating new business as non-recurring specific measures recede. However, in 2023 ISB RP continued support measures for households and corporates, that were affected by the flood in the Ahrtal region in 2021. The total volume of approved applications reached almost EUR1.3 billion on 1 July 2024. The application deadline for these support measures was extended to end-June 2026 by the German government and the affected federal states.

Moderate Capital Market Issuance

ISB RP's moderate balance sheet size leads to a less pronounced capital market presence compared to larger peers. In 2023, ISB RP issued EUR575 million debt securities (2022: EUR695 million), equivalent to about a third of its long-term funding. ISB RP plans at least a similar issue size this year.



Financials

Financial Statements

| | 31 🗆 | ec 23 | 31 Dec 22 | 31 Dec 21 | 31 Dec 20 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | 12 months | 12 months | 12 months | 12 months | 12 months |
| | (USDm) | (EURm) | (EURm) | (EURm) | (EURm) |
| Summary income statement | , | , | , | | |
| Net interest and dividend income | 45 | 41 | 35 | 28 | 28 |
| Net fees and commissions | 29 | 27 | 29 | 20 | 16 |
| Other operating income | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Total operating income | 79 | 73 | 65 | 49 | 45 |
| Operating costs | 67 | 61 | 60 | 49 | 44 |
| Pre-impairment operating profit | 13 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Loan and other impairment charges | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
| Operating profit | 11 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Other non-operating items (net) | -9 | -8 | -2 | _ | 0 |
| Tax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net income | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Summary balance sheet | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Assets | | | | · | |
| Gross loans | 8,147 | 7,437 | 7,048 | 6,304 | 6,122 |
| Net loans | 8,147 | 7,437 | 7,048 | 6,304 | 6,122 |
| Interbank | 1,750 | 1,597 | 1,670 | 1,490 | 1,902 |
| Other securities and earning assets | 1,193 | 1,089 | 906 | 847 | 832 |
| Total earning assets | 11,090 | 10,122 | 9,624 | 8,641 | 8,856 |
| Cash and due from banks | 10 | 9 | 16 | 282 | 185 |
| Other assets | 16 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| Total assets | 11,116 | 10,146 | 9,654 | 8,935 | 9,052 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Customer deposits | 1,032 | 942 | 1,165 | 1,389 | 1,437 |
| Interbank and other short-term funding | 7,718 | 7,044 | 6,920 | 6,679 | 7,287 |
| Other long-term funding | 1,980 | 1,808 | 1,225 | 525 | _ |
| Total funding and derivatives | 10,730 | 9,794 | 9,309 | 8,593 | 8,724 |
| Other liabilities | 89 | 81 | 84 | 84 | 72 |
| Total equity | 297 | 271 | 261 | 258 | 256 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 11,116 | 10,146 | 9,654 | 8,935 | 9,052 |
| Exchange rate | | USD1 = EUR0.912742 | USD1 = EUR0.937559 | USD1 = EUR0.884173 | USD1 = EUR0.821963 |
| Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, ISB RP | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | · | | |



Key Ratios

| | 31 Dec 23 | 31 Dec 22 | 31 Dec 21 | 31 Dec 20 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ratios (%; annualised as appropriate) | | | | |
| Profitability | | | | |
| Net interest income/average earning assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Non-interest expense/gross revenue | 84.0 | 92.3 | 99.4 | 96.2 |
| Net income/average equity | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Asset quality | | | | |
| Growth in gross loans | 5.5 | 11.8 | 3.0 | 11.1 |
| Loan impairment charges/average gross loans | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Capitalisation | | | | |
| Tangible common equity/tangible assets | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Funding and liquidity | | | <u> </u> | |
| Gross loans/customer deposits | 789.6 | 605.0 | 453.9 | 426.1 |
| Liquidity coverage ratio | 282.5 | 210.5 | 238.1 | 153.9 |
| Customer deposits/total non-equity funding | 9.6 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 16.5 |
| Net stable funding ratio | 129.3 | 110.6 | _ | _ |



Support Assessment

| Shareholder IDR | AAA |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Total Adjustments (notches) | 0 |
| Shareholder Support Rating | aaa |
| Shareholder ability to support | |
| Shareholder Rating | AAA/ Stable |
| Shareholder regulation | Equalised |
| Relative size | Equalised |
| Country risks | Equalised |
| | |
| Shareholder propensity to support | |
| Role in group | Equalised |
| Reputational risk | Equalised |
| Integration | Equalised |
| Support record | Equalised |
| Subsidiary performance and prospects | Equalised |
| | Equalised |

ISB RP's important role in RP's economic development, and RP's commitments resulting from the statutory support mechanisms, have a high influence on the bank's IDRs and SSR of 'aaa', and drive the alignment of the bank's IDRs with those of RP.



Environmental, Social and Governance Considerations

Fitch Ratings Investitions-und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz

Banks Ratings Navigator

| Credit-Relevant ESG Derivati | on | | | | | | | _ | Overa | II ESG Scale |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------|-------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Investitions-und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz has 5 ESG potential rating drivers Investitions-und Strukturbank Rheinland-Pfalz has exposure to compliance risks including fair lending practices, mis-selling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security) but this has very low impact on the rating. Governance is minimally relevant to the rating and is not currently a driver. | | | key driver | | 0 | issue | s | 5 | | |
| | | | dr | iver | 0 | issue | es | 4 | | |
| | | | potenti | ial driver | 5 | issue | s | 3 | | |
| | | | | not a rating driver | | 4 | issue | s | 2 | |
| | | | | not a rating amor | | 5 | issue | es | 1 | |
| Environmental (E) | | 0. 1. 2 | 2.6 | | | | | | | |
| General Issues GHG Emissions & Air Quality | E Score | Sector-Specific Issues | Reference | 5 | cale | ESG sc | | from 1 1 | | on a 15-level colo (1) is least relevant. |
| | | | | | | The En | vironmental | (E), Sc | ocial (S) and | d Governance (G |
| Energy Management | 1 | n.a. | n.a. | 4 | | tables break out the individual components of the scale. The hand box shows the aggregate E, S, or G score. General are relevant across all markets with Sector-Specific | | | core. General Issues ctor-Specific Issues | |
| Water & Wastewater Management | 1 | n.a. | n.a. | 3 | | unique to a particular industry group. Scores are assign each sector-specific issue. These scores signify the orelevance of the sector-specific issues to the issuing e overall credit rating. The Reference box highlights the fact within which the corresponding ESG issues are captur Fitch's credit analysis. The Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation table shows the ESG score. This score signifies the credit relevance of come E, S and G issues to the entity's credit rating. The three cot to the left of the overall ESG score summarize the issuing e sub-component ESG scores. The box on the far left ide some of the main ESG issues that are drivers or potential cof the issuing et and the score of the second of the second control of the second control of the issuing entity's credit rating (corresponding with score). | | | | signify the credit the issuing entity's ghlights the factor(s |
| Waste & Hazardous Materials Management; Ecological Impacts | 1 | n.a. | n.a. | 2 | | | | | | elevance of combined |
| Exposure to Environmental Impacts | 2 | Impact of extreme weather events on assets and/or operations and corresponding risk appetite & management; catastrophe risk; credit concentrations | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile; Asset Quality | 1 | | | | | | e the issuing entity's the far left identifies rs or potential drivers anding with scores of |
| Social (S) | | | | | | | | | xplanation for has been de | the score. |
| General Issues | General Issues S Score Sector-Specific Issues Reference | | | SS | cale | sector ra | atings criteria | a. The G | eneral Issues | and Sector-Specific |
| Human Rights, Community Relations, Access & Affordability | 2 | Services for underbanked and underserved communities: SME and community development programs; financial literacy programs | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile | 5 | | Issues draw on the classification standards published by the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). | | | | |
| Customer Welfare - Fair Messaging, Privacy & Data Security | 3 | Compliance risks including fair lending practices, misselling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security) | Operating Environment; Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile | 4 | | Sector r as displa | eferences in yed in the Se | the scal | le definitions I ails box on paç | pelow refer to Secto ge 1 of the navigator. |
| Labor Relations & Practices | 2 | Impact of labor negotiations, including board/employee compensation and composition | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 3 | | | | | | |
| Employee Wellbeing | 1 | n.a. | n.a. | 2 | | | | | | |
| Exposure to Social Impacts | 2 | Shift in social or consumer preferences as a result of an institution's social positions, or social and/or political disapproval of core banking practices | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Financial Profile | 1 | | | | | | |
| Governance (G) | | | | | | | | | VANT ESG SCALE | |
| General Issues | G Score | Sector-Specific Issues | Reference | G S | Scale | | | E, S and G issues to the credit rating? | | |
| Management Strategy | 3 | Operational implementation of strategy | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 5 | | 5 | s | ignificant i | impact on the rivalent to "highe | g driver that has a ating on an individual er" relative importance |
| Governance Structure | 3 | | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Earnings & Profitability; Capitalisation & Leverage | 4 | | 4 | a | n impact of ther factor | on the rating in | ey rating driver but has combination with o "moderate" relative or. |
| Group Structure | 3 | Organizational structure; appropriateness relative to business model; opacity; intra-group dynamics; ownership | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 3 | | 3 | in | npact or a | ctively manage ct on the entity r | g, either very low d in a way that results ating. Equivalent to a within Navigator. |
| Financial Transparency | 3 | Quality and frequency of financial reporting and auditing processes | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 2 | | 2 | | relevant to ector. | the entity ratin | g but relevant to the |
| | | | | 1 | | 1 | | relevant to ector. | the entity ratin | g and irrelevant to the |

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.



SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular insuer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$1,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to u

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.